

ANALOGUE OF SYLVESTER-CAYLEY FORMULA FOR INVARIANTS OF n -ARY FORM

LEONID BEDRATYUK

ABSTRACT. The number $\nu_{n,d}(k)$ of linearly independent homogeneous invariants of degree k for the n -ary form of degree d is calculated. The following formula holds

$$\nu_{n,d}(k) = \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\rho - s(\rho))^*),$$

here W is Weyl group of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, $(-1)^{|s|}$ is the sign of the element $s \in W$, $\rho = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is half the sum of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, the weight λ^* means the unique dominant weight on the orbit $W(\lambda)$ and $c_{n,d}(k, (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}))$ is the number of nonnegative integer solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2\omega_1(\alpha) + \omega_2(\alpha) + \dots + \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = dk - m_1, \\ \omega_1(\alpha) - \omega_2(\alpha) = m_2, \\ \dots \\ \omega_{n-2}(\alpha) - \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = m_{n-1}, \\ |\alpha| = k. \end{cases}$$

Here $\omega_r(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I_{n,d}} i_r \alpha_i$, $I_{n,d} := \{i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}, |i| \leq d\}$, $|i| = i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}$.

1. Let be $F_{d,n}$ the $\mathbb{C}$ -space of n -ary forms of degree d :

$$\sum_{i \in I_{n,d}} a_i \binom{d}{i} x_1^{d-(i_1+\dots+i_{n-1})} x_2^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_{n-1}},$$

where $I_{n,d} := \{i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n-1}, |i| \leq d\}$, $|i| = i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\binom{d}{i} := \frac{d!}{i_1! i_2! \dots i_{n-1}! (d - (i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}))!}.$$

Let us identify the algebra of polynomial function $\mathbb{C}[F_{d,n}]$ with the polynomial $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra $A_{d,n}$ of the variables set $\{a_i, i \in I_{n,d}\}$. The natural action of the group SL_n on $F_{d,n}$ induces the actions of SL_n (and $\mathfrak{sl}_n$) on the algebra $A_{d,n}$. The corresponding ring of invariants $A_{d,n}^{SL_n} = A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ is called the ring of invariants for the n -ary form of degree d .

The ring $A_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ is graded ring

$$A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} = (A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n})_0 + (A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n})_1 + \dots + (A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n})_k + \dots,$$

here $(A_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n})_k$ is the vector subspace generated by homogeneous invariants of degree k .

Denote $\nu_{n,d}(k) := \dim(A_{d,n}^{SL_n})_k$. For the binary form the number $\nu_{2,d}(k)$ is calculated by well-known Sylvester-Cayley formula, see [1]. For the ternary form the number $\nu_{3,d}(k)$ is calculated in the paper of the present author, see [2]. In this paper we generalize those formulas for the case of n -ary form.

2. In the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ denote by E_{ij} the matrix unities. The matrices $H_1 := E_{2,2} - E_{1,1}$, $H_2 := E_{3,3} - E_{2,2}$, $\dots$ $H_{n-1} := E_{n-1,n-1} - E_{n-2,n-2}$ generate the Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{sl}_n$.

Recall that the ordered set of integer numbers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1})$ is called the weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module V , if there exists $v \in V$ such that v is common eigenvector of the operators H_s and

$H_s(v) = \lambda_s v$, $s = 1, \dots, n-1$. A weight is said to be dominant weight if all $\lambda_s \geq 0$. Note, our definition of weight is slightly different than the standard weight definition as function on the Cartan subalgebra. Denote by Λ_V the set of all weight of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module V and denote by Λ_V^+ the set of dominant weight of V . Also, denote by Γ_λ the unique irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module with highest weight λ .

Let A be the vector subspace of $A_{n,d}$ generated by all elements $\{a_i, i \in I_{d,n}\}$ of degree 1. The operators H_i , $i = 1 \dots n-1$ act on the basis elements a_i , $i \in I_{n,d}$ of the space A in the following way, see [3] :

$$H_1(a_i) = (d - (2i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-1}))a_i, H_2(a_i) = (i_2 - i_3)a_i, \dots, H_{n-1}(a_i) = (i_{n-2} - i_{n-1})a_i.$$

Therefore, the basis elements $a_i, i \in I_{n,d}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module A are the common eigenvectors of the operators H_s with the weights

$$\varepsilon_i = (d - (2i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_{n-1}), i_2 - i_3, \dots, i_{n-2} - i_{n-1}).$$

It is clear that A is irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module with the highest vector $a_{(0,0,\dots,0)}$ and with the highest weight $(d, 0, \dots, 0)$. Thus, $A \cong \Gamma_{(d,0,\dots,0)}$. The number $\nu_{n,d}(k)$ is the multiplicities of trivial $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module $\Gamma_{(0,0,\dots,0)}$ in the decomposition the symmetrical power $S^k(A)$ on irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -modules, i.e. $\nu_{n,d}(k) = \gamma_{d,n}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0))$. Here $\gamma_{d,n}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0))$ is determined from the decomposition:

$$S^k(A) \cong \sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) \Gamma_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+.$$

Since the multiplicities of all weights are equal to 1 we may write down the the character of A :

$$\text{Char}(A) = \sum_{i \in I_{n,d}} e(\varepsilon_i).$$

Here $e(\varepsilon_i)$ are generating elements of the group ring of the weight lattice $\mathbb{Z}(\Lambda_A)$.

We need the following technical lemma

Lemma 1. *The monomial $\prod_{i \in I_{n,d}} a_i^{\alpha_i}$ of total degree k is the weight vector of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module $S^k(A)$ with the weight*

$$(nd - (2\omega_1(\alpha) + \omega_2(\alpha) + \dots + \omega_{n-1}(\alpha)), \omega_1(\alpha) - \omega_2(\alpha), \dots, \omega_{n-2}(\alpha) - \omega_{n-1}(\alpha)),$$

$$de \omega_s(\alpha) = \sum_{i \in I_{n,d}} i_s \alpha_i, |\alpha| := \sum_{i \in I_{n,d}} \alpha_i = k.$$

Proof. Direct calculations. □

The character of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module $S^k(A)$ is the complete symmetrical polynomial H_k of the variable set $e(\varepsilon_i)$, $i \in I_{n,d}$, see [4]. Therefore, we have

$$\text{Char}(S^k(A)) = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \prod_{i \in I_{n,d}} e(\varepsilon_i)^{\alpha_i}$$

Lemma 2.

$$\text{Char}(S^k(A)) = \sum_{\mu} c_{n,d}(k, \mu) e(\mu), \mu \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}$$

here $c_{n,d}(k, \mu) := c_{n,d}(k, (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1}))$ is the number of nonnegative integer solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2\omega_1(\alpha) + \omega_2(\alpha) + \dots + \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = kd - \mu_1, \\ \omega_1(\alpha) - \omega_2(\alpha) = \mu_2, \\ \dots \\ \omega_{n-2}(\alpha) - \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = \mu_{n-1}, \\ |\alpha| = k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Direct calculations, using Lemma 1. □

For arbitrary $\mu \in \Lambda_{\Gamma_\lambda}$ denote by μ^* the unique dominant weight on the orbit $W(\mu)$ of the Weyl group W . Such dominant weight exists and unique, see. [5].

On the $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module Γ_λ let us define the value E_λ in the following way

$$E_\lambda = \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} n_\lambda((\rho - s(\rho))^*).$$

Here ρ is half the sum of the positive roots of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, $n_\lambda(\mu)$ is the multiplicities of the weight μ in Γ_λ and $|s|$ is the sign of the element $s \in W$. Note, $n_\lambda(\mu) = 0$ if $\mu \notin \Gamma_\lambda$.

The following lemma plays crucial role in the calculation.

Lemma 3.

$$E_\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, \lambda = (0, \dots, 0), \\ 0, \lambda \neq (0, \dots, 0). \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $\lambda = (0, \dots, 0)$, there is nothing to prove – the multiplicities of trivial weight in the trivial representation is equal to 1.

Suppose now $\lambda \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. We use the following recurrence formula, see [6], for the multiplicities $n_\lambda(\mu)$ of the weight μ in the $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module Γ_λ :

$$\sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} n_\lambda(\mu + \rho - s(\rho)) = 0.$$

Substituting $\mu = (0, \dots, 0)$ and taking into account that the multiplicities of the weights $\rho - s(\rho)$ and $(\rho - s(\rho))^*$ coincides we obtain the formula. □

Now we are ready to calculate the value $\nu_{n,d}(k)$

Theorem 1. *The number $\nu_{n,d}(k)$ of linearly independent homogeneous invariants of degree k n -ary form of degree d is calculated by the formula*

$$\nu_{n,d}(k) = \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\rho - s(\rho))^*). \quad (*)$$

Proof. The number $\nu_{n,d}(k)$ is equal to the multiplicities $\gamma_{n,d}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0))$ of trivial representation $\Gamma_{(0,0,\dots,0)}$ in the symmetrical power $S^k(A)$. The decomposition

$$S^k(A) \cong \sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) \Gamma_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+,$$

implies the following characters decompositions

$$\text{Char}(S^k(A)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) \text{Char}(\Gamma_\lambda).$$

Taking into account

$$\text{Char}(\Gamma_\lambda) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\Gamma_\lambda}} n_\lambda(\mu) e(\mu),$$

we get

$$\text{Char}(S^k(A)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{\Gamma_\lambda}} n_\lambda(\mu) e(\mu) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) n_\lambda(\mu) \right) e(\mu).$$

By using Lemma 2 we get

$$\text{Char}(S^k(A)) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}} c_{n,d}(k, \mu) e(\mu).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}} c_{n,d}(k, \mu) e(\mu) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) n_\lambda(\mu) \right) e(\mu).$$

By equating the coefficients of $e(\mu)$, we obtain

$$c_{n,d}(k, \mu) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) n_\lambda(\mu).$$

Then, by using previous lemma we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\rho - s(\rho))^*) &= \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) n_\lambda((\rho - s(\rho))^*) = \\ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) \left(\sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} n_\lambda((\rho - s(\rho))^*) \right) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+} \gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) E_\lambda = \gamma_{n,d}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\nu_{n,d}(k) = \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\rho - s(\rho))^*).$$

This concludes the proof. $\square$

3. It is easy to see that the multiplicities $\gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda)$ is equal to number of linearly independed highest vectors of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module $S^k(A)$ with highest weight λ . Any highest vector is the invariant of the subalgebra of upper triangular unipotent matrices of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Such elements is called semi-invariants of n -ary form. In the same way we can prove the theorem

Theorem 2.

$$\gamma_{n,d}(k, \lambda) = \sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\lambda + \rho - s(\rho))^*), \lambda \in \Lambda_{S^k(A)}^+.$$

Let us introduce the conception of covariants for n -ary form. Denote by $C_{n,d}$ the algebra of polynomial functions of the following $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -module

$$A_{n,d} \oplus \Gamma_{(1,0,\dots,0)} \oplus \Gamma_{(0,1,\dots,0)} \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{(0,0,\dots,1)}.$$

The subalgebra $C_{n,d}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ -invariants is called the algebra of covariants of n -ary form. For $n = 2$ the classical Roberts' theorem [7] states that the algebras of semi-invariants and covariants are isomorphic. The similar result for ternary form proved by the author in the paper [3].

The following statement seems to hold

Conjecture. *The algebras of semi-invariants and covariants of n -ary form are isomorphic.*

If it is true, then Theorem 2 defines the formula for calculation of the number linearly independent covariants for n -ary form of the weight λ and degree k .

4. Example. Let $n = 3$. Then half the sum of the positive roots ρ is equal to $(1, 1)$. The Weyl group of Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is generated by the three reflections $s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_3}$, here $\alpha_1 = (2, -1)$, $\alpha_2 = (-1, 2)$ i $\alpha_3 = (1, 1)$ are all positive roots. The orbit $W(\rho)$ consists of 6 weights $-(1, 1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\alpha_1}(1, 1) &= (-1, 2), & (-1)^{|s_{\alpha_1}|} &= -1, \\ s_{\alpha_2}(1, 1) &= (2, -1), & (-1)^{|s_{\alpha_2}|} &= -1, \\ s_{\alpha_3}(1, 1) &= (-1, -1), & (-1)^{|s_{\alpha_3}|} &= -1, \\ s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3}(1, 1) &= (1, -2), & (-1)^{|s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3}|} &= 1, \\ s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_1}(1, 1) &= (-2, 1), & (-1)^{|s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_1}|} &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\rho - W(\rho) = \{(0, 0), (2, -1), (-1, 2), (2, 2), (0, 3), (3, 0)\}.$$

Thus, taking into account the signs of the correponsing elements of the group W we get the following indentity for any dominant weight λ of the standard irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ -module Γ_λ :

$$n_\lambda(0, 0) - n_\lambda(2, -1) - n_\lambda(-1, 2) - n_\lambda(2, 2) + n_\lambda(0, 3) + n_\lambda(3, 0) = 0.$$

Since the weights $(1, 1), (2, -1), (-1, 2)$ lies on the same orbit it is implies $(2, -1)^* = (-1, 2)^* = (1, 1)$ and

$$n_\lambda(1, 1) = n_\lambda(2, -1) = n_\lambda(-1, 2).$$

Thus

$$n_\lambda(0, 0) - 2n_\lambda(1, 1) - n_\lambda(2, 2) + n_\lambda(0, 3) + n_\lambda(3, 0) = 0.$$

Therefore, using Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\nu_{3,d}(k) = c_{3,d}(k, (0, 0)) - 2c_{3,d}(k, (1, 1)) - c_{3,d}(k, (2, 2)) + c_{3,d}(k, (0, 3)) + c_{3,d}(k, (3, 0)).$$

It coincides completely with result of the paper [2].

5. Let us derive the formula for calculation of $\nu_{n,d}(k)$. Solving the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} 2\omega_1(\alpha) + \omega_2(\alpha) + \cdots + \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = d n - \mu_1, \\ \omega_1(\alpha) - \omega_2(\alpha) = \mu_2, \\ \cdots \\ \omega_{n-2}(\alpha) - \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = \mu_{n-1}, \\ |\alpha| = k. \end{cases}$$

for $\omega_1(\alpha), \omega_2(\alpha), \dots, \omega_{n-1}(\alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{n} (\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \cdots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1}) - (\mu_2 + \mu_3 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}) \right) \\ \omega_2(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{n} (\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \cdots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1}) - (\mu_3 + \mu_4 + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}) \right) \\ \cdots \\ \omega_s(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{n} (\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \cdots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1}) - (\mu_{s+1} + \mu_{s+2} + \cdots + \mu_{n-1}) \right) \\ \cdots \\ \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \left(\frac{1}{n} (\mu_1 + 2\mu_2 + \cdots + (n-1)\mu_{n-1}) \right) \\ |\alpha| = k \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to prove that the number $c_{n,d}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0))$ of nonnegative integer solutions of the following system

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} \\ \omega_2(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} \\ \dots \\ \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} \\ |\alpha| = k \end{cases}$$

is equal to coefficient of $t^k(q_1 q_2 \dots q_{n-1})^{\frac{k d}{n}}$ of the expansion of the series

$$R_{n,d} = \left(\prod_{|\mu| \leq d} (1 - t q_1^{\mu_1} q_2^{\mu_2} \dots q_{n-1}^{\mu_{n-1}}) \right)^{-1}.$$

Denote it in such way:

$$c_{n,d}(k, (0, 0, \dots, 0)) = \left(R_{n,d} \right)_{t^k(q_1 q_2 \dots q_{n-1})^{\frac{k d}{n}}}.$$

Then, for a set of integer numbers $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1})$ the number $c_{n,d}(k, (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}))$ of integer nonnegative solutions of the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \omega_1(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \mu_1 \\ \omega_2(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \mu_2 \\ \dots \\ \omega_{n-1}(\alpha) = \frac{k d}{n} - \mu_{n-1} \\ |\alpha| = k \end{cases}$$

is equals

$$c_{n,d}(k, (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{n-1})) = \left(q_1^{\mu_1} q_2^{\mu_2} \dots q_{n-1}^{\mu_{n-1}} R_{n,d} \right)_{t^k(q_1 q_2 \dots q_{n-1})^{\frac{k d}{n}}}.$$

By using the multi-index notation rewrite the last expression in the form

$$c_{n,d}(k, \mu) = \left(q^\mu R_{n,d} \right)_{t^k(q)^{\frac{k d}{n}}}.$$

To each $\mu \in I_{n,d}$ assing the following vector

$$\mu_\omega = \left(\frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} s \mu_s \right) - \sum_{s=2}^{n-1} \mu_s, \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{s=1}^{n-1} s \mu_s \right) - \sum_{s=3}^{n-1} \mu_s, \dots, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} s \mu_s \right).$$

Then the following formula holds

$$\nu_{n,d}(k) = \left(\left(\sum_{s \in W} (-1)^{|s|} c_{n,d}(k, (\rho - s(\rho))^*) q^{(\rho - s(\rho))^*} \right) R_{n,d} \right)_{t^k(q)^{\frac{k d}{n}}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Hilbert, D., Theory of algebraic invariants. Lectures. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [2] Bedratyuk L.P., *Analogue of Sylvester-Cayley formula for invariants of ternary form*, submitted to the Michigan Mathematical Journal, arXiv:0806.1920
- [3] Bedratyuk L., *The Roberts' theorem for the ternary forms*, Nauk. Visn. Chernivets'kogo Univ., Mat., **349**, 5–13, 2007.
- [4] Fulton W., Harris J., Representation theory: a first course, 1991.
- [5] Humphreys J., Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, 1978.
- [6] Naimark, M.A., Stern, A.I. , Theory of group representations. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 246, New York -Heidelberg - Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [7] Roberts M. The covariants of a binary quantic of the n -th degree, Quarterly J. Math., **4**.P.168–178, 1861

KHMEL'NYTS'KY NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, INSTYTUTS'KA ST. 11, KHMEL'NYTS'KY , 29016, UKRAINE
E-mail address: bedratyuk@ief.tup.km.ua